

23 December 1975

Jack:

The following is submitted to you, at John's request, in connection with the claim for the cost of shipping a FORPOV.

25X9 At the time the Congressional mandate went into effect (December 10, 1972) there were [] personnel overseas for the DDO, and [] plus a few others from the DDI and the DDS&T. Any or all of the [] employees could have been caught in the position of having a FORPOV which they had to sell or ship at their own expense, but we do not know exactly how many there were. There were a number of cables exchanged to confirm the policy and discuss exceptions, but these are not now available. About a year ~~or more~~ ago, when we were debating one of the other claims with the IG, the Area Divisions estimated that there were probably [] overseas who had to either sell or ship. However, the only way we could have documented this would have been to do a survey and poll all the employees.

25X9 The Divisions and Commo are even farther from the scene now and are unable to give an estimate that they could be comfortable with. The reason for this is that employees selling or shipping would have to do it on their own, it would never be recorded in Agency records, and there would have been no reason for the employees to consult the parent components. Those who shipped probably did it on foreign bottoms to save money. EUR Division indicated that, in their Division alone, they would be comfortable with an estimate of [] or 25X9 so, but the other Divisions and Commo, in the absense of proof do not want to guess. My own guess is that, [] employees, there must have been at least []. The only way to find out would be to actually poll the population.

25X9 Also, out of [] there have been only 3 who claimed that they did not understand the rules.

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		

Mr. Blake,

Your secretary was one of those
"unfortunate" people, as I chose to
ship my car home at my own expense,
(1964).

del